

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/744,686	03/23/2001	Eugenie Charriere	004900-194	3078
21839 7	590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER	
	ANE SWECKER & MAT	SERGENT, RABON A		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Analization No.	A - 1: 4(-)			
to frame of	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	09/744,686	CHARRIERE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address/ Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	nuary 2004.				
	·				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 17-30 and 33-38 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 17-30 and 33-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original sheet and the correction of the original sheet and the correction of the original sheet and the correction of the correction	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/744,686

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 4, 2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 17-30 and 33-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Firstly, the subject matter of claims 37 and 38 lacks antecedence from claims 17 and 35, respectively. The subject matter of the claims no longer pertains to amended claims 17 and 35.

Secondly, the subject matter of claim 27 fails to further limit claim 17, because the triazole/methyl ethyl ketoxime pair of claim 27 has been excluded by the amendment to claim 17.

Thirdly, the conditions set forth within the last eight lines of claim 17 and the last six lines of claim 35 should be recited before the provisos, because the claims are confusing with respect to whether the conditions somehow pertain only to the provisos.

Fourthly, despite applicants' response, the position is taken that the language, "which is released first, that is, the lowest temperature", within the last line of claim 17 is ambiguous. The language is simply not clear enough or is too limited to clearly set forth applicants' intended concept. Applicants should more clearly set forth what the "lowest temperature" refers to.

Application/Control Number: 09/744,686

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

Lastly, despite applicants' response, the position is maintained that the language, "very close", renders the claims indefinite. The language is subjective, in terms of what constitutes "close" and how "very" is to modify "close". The examiner has considered applicants' argument and has reviewed the parts of the specification pertaining to the octanol test; however, the position is maintained that the specification, as filed, provides no guidance in defining "very

close". Applicants' argument that the specification provides a standard for determining what

constitutes "very close" is simply not supported.

3. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject

matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one

skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. Support has not been provided for the subject matter of the

claims.

4. The prior art rejections have been withdrawn in view of applicant's amendment and

response.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone

number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent

March 12, 2004